Correspondence

Factors associated with poor outcomes of hospitalized myasthenia gravis

To the Editor

We would like to discuss the recent publication by Tiamkao et al¹ entitled 'Factors associated with poor outcomes of hospitalized myasthenia gravis'. Tiamkao et al¹ noted that "hospital category, pneumonia, and respiratory failure" are the 3 main factors associated with poor outcome. Based on the nature of a retrospective study, there are some points to be addressed. First, it cannot exclude any possible concomitant illness in the studied cases. Also, the data on "cost" in the present study should be carefully analyzed, as there were a lot of changes in the currency value in Thailand during the studied period. In addition, many previous reports mentioned the variability of clinical outcome due to pathological underlying myasthenia gravis (thymomatous versus non-thymomatous), and the methods used for treatment (medical treatment, surgical manipulation, and other techniques).² Finally, the role of underlying genetics should also be discussed. It is reported that patients with the HLA-B8-DR3 haplotype, especially among younger, female patients usually have more severe diseases.3

Sim S. Tin
Medical Center
Shantou, Guangdong Province
Viroj Wiwanitkit
Hainan Medical University
Haikou City, Hainan Province
China

Reply from the Author

No reply received from the author.

References

- 1. Tiamkao S, Pranboon S, Thepsuthammarat K, Sawanyawisuth K. Prevalence of factors associated with poor outcomes of hospitalized myasthenia gravis patients in Thailand. *Neurosciences* 2014; 19: 286-290.
- Skeie GO, Romi F. Paraneoplastic myasthenia gravis: immunological and clinical aspects. *Eur J Neurol* 2008; 15: 1029-1033.
- 3. Fang F, Sveinsson O, Thormar G, Granqvist M, Askling J, Lundberg IE, et al. The autoimmune spectrum of myasthenia gravis: a Swedish population-based study. The autoimmune spectrum of myasthenia gravis: a Swedish population-based study. *J Intern Med* 2014; doi: 10.1111/joim.12310.

Do you have any comments or questions? Agree or disagree with published articles?

The correspondence section within the journal is a forum to comment on any of the articles published in the journal. Correspondence will not be sent for peer review, and will only be edited for the use of appropriate language. All correspondence should be submitted and published within 6 months from the date of the original publication.

Please submit your correspondence through the journal website (www.neurosciencesjournal.org), and don't forget to clearly state the title of the original publication, and your contact details.

